

Assessment, Improvement, Measurement (AIM): 04/09/2013

Plan Year: 2011-2012

Unit: Automotive Technology

Coordinator(s): Steven Johnson, Karman Wheeler, William Franklin

Reviewer: William Franklin

Program Health Review: Use the Annual Program Health Review to evaluate student achievement and, if warranted based upon analysis of the results, make program changes to improve student achievement.

Identify expected student learning outcomes, assess the extent to which these outcomes are achieved, and provide evidence of improvement based on analysis of the results.

Measure Text: PROGRAM HEALTH REVIEW - LEVEL 1

1. Program Health Review - Refer to the attached Annual Program Summary for longitudinal information related to enrollment, graduates, employment, employer and alumni satisfaction, and licensure pass rates.

2. Student Learning outcomes

Three student learning outcomes – Plan an assessment for each outcome identified, and assess the extent to which these outcomes are achieved, and provide evidence of improvement based on analysis of the results.

Achievement Target: Program Health Review Target - Maintain a level 1 assessment with comments from program coordinator, assistant dean, dean and vice president according to attached time schedule.

Student Learning Outcome Target - Student learning outcomes are identified, assessed on level of achievement, and evidence of improvement are provided based on analysis of the results according to the attached time schedule.

Results: Program Coordinator:

1. Strengths of the Program

A. Strong advisory committee providing essential input and feedback on Automotive Technology curriculum.

B. Selective admissions will be implemented in spring 2012 to eliminate the waiting list.

C. New curriculum was implemented in fall 2011.

D. Reaccreditation was completed with the program receiving maximum reaccreditation of 5 years.

2. Items Requiring Continued Attention

A. Seeking funding for air conditioning and heating as class room renovations are taking place.

B. Rapidly changing technology necessitates additional funding to maintain an up-to-date classroom, simulators, and equipment.

3. Document and provide evidence indicating how last year's program review resulted in improvements in the program/department.

A. Selective admissions will be implemented in Spring 2012

B. Reaccreditation awarded

AD Comments: The program does have a strong advisory committee that fully participates in program evaluation and accreditation. Accreditation was renewed this past year, and selective admissions is being implemented for the spring of 2012 enrollment.

Dean Comments: Agree with AD and Coordinator's comments. Strong program. Use of selective admissions has taken care of the long waiting list that the program had. Selective admissions may make for a strong student with less drop Outs.

VP Comments: While this program is one of the strongest in the state due to the efforts of the Coordinator, I am concerned that the program is not consistently maximizing enrollments.

Target Results: Met

Findings:
